

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSICNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.G. 20231 www.uspto.gov

VPPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/306,189	05/06/1999	MICHAEL RICHARD COOPER	AT9-98-920	3131
7:	590 02/05/2003			
DUKE W YEE CARSTENS YEE & CAHOON LLP PO BOX 802334			EXAMINER	
			ROMERO, ALMARI DEL CARMEN	
DALLAS, TX	/5380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/306,189	COOPER ET AL.					
Advicery Neuen	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Almari Romero	2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
_ , ,	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe		see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered a ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:	LUEATHER R	HERNDON	_				
	SUPERVISORY PA	TENT EXAMINEH					

Application/Control Number: 09/306,189

Art Unit: 2176

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

A. Regarding applicant's remarks on page 4, 4th paragraph:

Referring to Claim 6, Meltzer discloses "selection of an element in the DTD based on a routine called by Java" on col. 23, lines 38-60: elements are retrieved from XML DTD when the document is parsed to be translated into JAVA objects and on col. 23, lines 17-60: applications that the listeners run may be Java functions to transform incoming document into an appropriate format.

B. Regarding applicant's remarks on page 6, 1st paragraph - page 7, 1st paragraph:

Referring to Claims 1, 12, and 23, Meltzer discloses the DTD of XML (col.23, lines 38-60) and on col. 23, lines 38-60 and col. 79, lines 54-55: teaches the association between JAVA (which can include functions or objects) and the XML DTD from the translation method.

Day discloses "parsing a source code statement" col. 8, lines 12-45: parsing JAVA file to look for package statement; JAVA Doc can be combined (association) into the HTML (XML, col. 7, lines 19-20).